



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/467,812	12/20/1999	YUTAKA YOKOYAMA	13273	2853
23389	7590 03/18/2003			
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			EXAMINER	
	400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		SENFI, BEHROOZ M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
	,		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

39

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/467,812	YOKOYAMA, YUTAKA			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Behrooz Senfi	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable in the sapplication of this application is applicated in the same of this application is a time of the same of the	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP I 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment please.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 1-21.					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
	SUP	CHRIS KELLEY ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Part of Paper No. 13 Application/Control Number: 09/467,812

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants point is set and understood. However, the Examiner will clarify his position. Clearly in MPEG 2 controlling average bit rates (for GOP) are well-Known (col. 1, lines 46 – 48). Moreover, Katata '644 controls quantization step size based on bit allocation, or more precisely remaining bits left in the buffer R (col. 3, lines 10 – 35) based on the GOP bit budget (col. 4, lines 60 – 65). In other words, the GOP average bit rate is accounted for in the allocation of number of bits for each GOP and quantization step size for each picture type and is substantially the same for each GOP as it begins.